## Other days, other ways? Fiscal and monetary policy reaction functions over the past seven decades Gong Cheng (Moody's), Antoine Cornevin (Graduate Institute Geneva), Boris Hofmann (BIS)\*

55th Annual Money Macro Finance Conference, Manchester, 5 September 2024

\*The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the BIS.

#### Background

• High public debt and low policy rates in the decades before the inflation surge



Sources: Jorda, Schularick and Taylor (2017); IMF; OECD; Authors own calculations.

#### Research question: How did we get there?

- Was it fundamentals or policy?
- Fundamentals
  - Low policy rates reflected adjustment to low r-star
  - Higher debt levels could be sustained because of fundamentally lower interest rates (Blanchard (2023))
- Policy
  - High debt and low rates reflect asymmetric policy conduct and policy interactions (BIS (2023))
    - Asymmetric response to the business cycle with strong easing in downturns but little tightening in upswings
    - Lower rates facilitated public debt build up, while higher debt restrained monetary policy (debt trap)

#### What the paper does

- Test for business cycle asymmetries and monetary-fiscal policy interactions from a reaction function perspective
  - Fiscal policy reaction functions in the spirit of Bohn (1998) and Mauro et al (2015)
  - Monetary policy reaction functions in the spirit of Taylor (1993, 1999)
- Annual data for 17 AEs for the period 1950-2021
  - Long-horizon analysis to identify long-run trends in policy reactions

#### Fiscal and monetary policy reaction functions

• Fiscal reaction function following Bohn (1998) and Mauro et al. (2015):

 $pb_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 d_{i,t-1} + \alpha_2 \hat{y}_{i,t} + \alpha_3 X_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$ 

- *pb<sub>i,t</sub>*: Primary balance as a ratio to GDP
- *d<sub>i,t-1</sub>*: Lagged public debt as a ratio to GDP (expected direction of response positive)
- $\hat{y}_{i,t}$ : Output gap (expected direction of response positive)
- Monetary policy reaction function following Taylor (1993) (inertial Taylor rule)  $r_{i,t} = \rho r_{i,t-1} + (1 - \rho)(\alpha + \beta_{\pi} \pi_{i,t} + \beta_{y} \hat{y}_{i,t}) + \xi_{i,t}$ 
  - *r<sub>i,t</sub>*: Policy rate or closest equivalent (shadow rates from Krippner (2013) after 2008)
  - $\pi_{i,t}$ : Headline inflation rate (expected direction of response positive)
  - $\hat{y}_{i,t}$ : Output gap (expected direction of response positive)

#### Methodology

- Mean Group estimator (Pesaran and Smith (1995))
  - Averaging separate estimates for each group (economy) in the panel
  - Taking into account group heterogeneity and avoiding Nickell bias
  - Consistent estimator
- Estimation by OLS rather than IV
  - Carvalho et al (2021) argue in favour of OLS rather than IV in the estimation of MP rules
    - Finding valid instruments is difficult
    - OLS bias is small
    - OLS and IV estimates turn out to be very similar
  - We build on the same logic for FP rules

#### **Empirical analysis**

- Changes over time
  - Moving window estimation
- Asymmetries in cyclical reactions
  - Positive vs negative output gap
- Policy interactions
  - Interest paid on debt in FP reaction function, public debt in MP reaction function

# Changes over time

## Policy reactions over time (25y moving window): Fiscal policy



## Policy reactions over time (25y moving window): Monetary policy I



## Policy reactions over time (25y moving window): Monetary policy II



## Business cycle asymmetries

#### Increased cyclicality in the raw data

#### Primary balance

Policy rates



#### Downward drift in output gap estimates (average across 17 AEs)



## Similar downward drift in capacity utilisation rates (U.S.)



### Asymmetric cyclical responses

#### Fiscal policy reaction function

Primary balance	(1)	(2)
Lagged debt	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0255^{***} \\ (0.00970) \end{array}$	$0.0276^{***}$ (0.0102)
Output gap	$0.530^{***}$ (0.0722)	
Output gap $(>0)$		$0.327^{***}$ (0.112)
Output gap $(<0)$		$0.750^{***}$ (0.108)
Observations	1200	1200
No. of countries	17	17
R-squared	0.277	0.315
Wald output gap test	-	$0.42^{**}$

#### Monetary policy reaction function

Policy rate	(1)	(2)
Lagged policy rate	$\begin{array}{c} 0.814^{***} \\ (0.0205) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.815^{***} \\ (0.0209) \end{array}$
Inflation	$\begin{array}{c} 0.192^{***} \\ (0.0258) \end{array}$	$0.193^{***}$ (0.0253)
Output gap	$\begin{array}{c} 0.285^{***} \\ (0.0622) \end{array}$	
Output gap $(>0)$		$0.239^{***}$ (0.0867)
Output gap $(<0)$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.319^{***} \\ (0.0498) \end{array}$
Long-term coefficient on inflation	1.03 (0.133)	1.05 (0.129)
Long-term coefficient on output gap	1.53 (0.374)	-
Observations	630	630
No. of countries	9	9
R-squared	0.888	0.887
Wald output gap test		0.068

# **Policy interactions**

### Policy interactions

#### Fiscal policy reaction function

Primary balance	(1)	(2)
Lagged debt	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0334^{***} \\ (0.0101) \end{array}$	$0.0356^{***}$ (0.0105)
Output gap	$0.510^{***}$ (0.0698)	
Output gap $(>0)$		$0.266^{**}$ (0.114)
Output gap $(<0)$		$0.758^{***}$ (0.104)
Int. paid on debt	$\begin{array}{c} 0.115^{**} \\ (0.0495) \end{array}$	$0.132^{**}$ (0.0566)
Observations	1200	1200
No. of countries	17	17
R-squared	0.312	0.358
Wald output gap te	st -	$0.49^{***}$

#### Monetary policy reaction function

Policy rate	(1)	(2)
Lagged policy rate	$\begin{array}{c} 0.764^{***} \\ (0.0413) \end{array}$	$0.767^{***}$ (0.0422)
Inflation	$0.193^{***}$ (0.0329)	$0.192^{***}$ (0.0306)
Output gap	$0.272^{***}$ (0.0660)	
Output gap $(>0)$		$0.227^{***}$ (0.0879)
Output gap $(<0)$		0.302*** (0.0580)
Sovereign debt	-0.00756** (0.00334)	-0.00725** (0.00338)
Long-term coefficient on inflation	0.82 (0.155)	0.82 (0.151)
Long-term coefficient on output gap	1.15 (0.409)	-
Observations	627	627
No. of countries	9	9
R-squared	0.890	0.889
Wald output gap test	-	0.074

## Policy interactions II: Fiscal policy

Primary balance	(1)
Lagged debt	-0.00991 (0.0121)
Output gap	$0.498^{***}$ (0.0719)
Int. paid on debt	-0.495** (0.213)
Sovereign debt $\times$ Int. paid on debt	0.00962*** (0.00272)
Observations	1200
No. of countries	17
R-squared	0.609



#### Policy interactions II: Monetary policy



#### Conclusions

- Upward drift in public debt and downward drift in policy rates can be explained at least in part by policy reaction
  - Stronger response to cyclical fluctuations together with downward drift in estimates of economic slack introduced drift on policies
  - Policy interaction reinforce the drift
    - Falling interest rates appear to have pushed up deficits in particular in recent decades
    - No robust evidence of higher public debt restraining monetary policy